July 3, 2007

VIA ECFS

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary Federal Communications Commission Office of the Secretary 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

In re: Closed Captioning and Video Description of Video Programming – Implementation of Section 305 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 – Video Programming Accessibility

<u>CGB-CC-0701</u> – Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements Filed by Captain Eric Mannino, Inc.

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. ("TDI"), National Association for the Deaf ("NAD"), Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network ("DHHCAN"), Hearing Loss Association of America ("HLAA"), Association of Late-Deafened Adults, Inc. ("ALDA"), American Association of People with Disabilities ("AAPD"), and California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing ("CCASDHH") (collectively, "Commenters") submit for filing in the above-captioned proceeding their opposition to the petition for exemption from the Commission's closed captioning requirements filed by Captain Eric Mannino, Inc. for its program "Inshore Fishing Techniques" (the "Petition").

The following is a summary of pertinent program, financial, and other information provided in the Petition:

"Inshore Fishing Techniques," produced by Eric Mannino, airs on SunSports Network and the Sportsman's Channel. Mr. Mannino states, "I have attempted to grow sponsorship dollars above and beyond the cost of the show's expenses with no success at this time." "Many times," the program includes "text and graphics... to help offset the lack of closed captioning." The 2006 Tax Return provided indicates revenue of \$103,534 and a net loss of \$17,181. Mr. Mannino's filing does not include an affidavit. Furthermore, the filing does not include copies of correspondence with closed captioning

Boston
Hartford
Hong Kong
London
Los Angeles
New York
Orange County
San Francisco
Santa Monica
Silicon Valley
Tokyo
Walnut Creek

Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street NW Washington, DC 20006-1806

> 7 202.373.6000 F 202.373.6001 bingham.com

A/72051723.2

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary CGB-CC-0701 July 3, 2007 Page 2

companies or indicate that the organization sought to recoup the cost of closed captioning.

The Petition does not meet the statutory requirements necessary to support an exemption from the closed captioning rules. Commenters believe that the Petitioner has provided information that suggests that compliance with the closed captioning requirements would impose an undue burden under the Commission's existing waiver standards. Commenters recommend that the Petitioner be given a temporary exemption of two (2) years to comply with the closed captioning rules.

I. The Legal Standard for Granting a Petition for Exemption

Section 713 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the "Act"), requires that video programming be closed captioned, regardless of distribution technologies, to ensure that it is accessible to persons with hearing disabilities.³ The Commission has the authority to grant a petition for an exemption from the closed captioning requirements upon a showing that the requirements would impose an undue burden on the video programming provider or video owner.⁴ Congress defined "undue burden" to mean "significant difficulty or expense."⁵

A petition seeking a waiver of the captioning rules must demonstrate that compliance would result in an undue burden within the meaning of Section 713(e)

¹ 47 U.S.C. § 613(e).

Although Commenters believe that the Petitioner may meet the undue burden standard set forth in 47 U.S.C. § 613(e) and 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f), the Commission may not properly rely on the Anglers Exemption Order to determine whether Petitioner's request meets the undue burden standard. In the Matter of Anglers for Christ Ministries, Inc.; New Beginning Ministries; Video Programming Accessibility; Petitions for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 06-1802 (2006) ("Anglers Exemption Order"). The Anglers Exemption Order is not a final order and the new standard fails to incorporate an "economically burdensome" or an "undue burden" standard as mandated by 47 U.S.C. § 613(e). See Application for Review of Bureau Order, Docket No. 06-181, CGB-CC-0005, CGB-CC-0007 (filed October 12, 2006).

³ 47 U.S.C. § 613(e).

⁴ *Id*.

⁵ Id.

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary CGB-CC-0701 July 3, 2007 Page 3

and Section 79.1(f) of the Commission's rules.⁶ Section 713 requires the Commission to consider four factors when determining whether the closed captioning requirements will impose an undue burden: (1) the nature and cost of the closed captions for the programming; (2) the impact on the operation of the provider or program owner; (3) the financial resources of the provider or program owner; and (4) the type of operations of the provider or program owner.⁷

Section 79.1(f) of the Commission's rules sets forth the Commission's procedures for seeking an exemption from the closed captioning requirements on the basis that compliance would impose an undue burden on the programmer. A petition for an exemption from the closed captioning requirements must be supported by sufficient evidence to demonstrate that compliance with the requirements would cause an undue burden. Such petition must contain a detailed, full showing, supported by affidavit, of any facts or considerations relied on by the petitioner. It must also describe any available alternatives that might constitute a reasonable substitute for the captioning requirements.

In the 2006 Anglers Exemption Order, the Consumer & Governmental Affairs Bureau ("CGB") improperly created a new standard that ignored the "undue burden" analysis required by the Act, the Commission's rules, and Commission precedent. Instead, the CGB stated that any non-profit organization may be granted a waiver from the closed captioning rules if the organization does not receive compensation for airing its programming and if it may terminate or substantially curtail its programming or other activities important to its mission if it is required to caption its programming. The Commission may not properly rely on the Anglers Exemption Order to determine whether Petitioner's request meets the undue burden standard. Commenters have sought review of the Anglers Exemption Order by the Commission and, accordingly, the Anglers Exemption

⁶ 47 U.S.C. § 613(e); 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f).

⁷ *Id.*

⁸ 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f).

⁹ 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(2).

¹⁰ 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(9).

¹¹ 47 C.F.R. § 79.1(f)(3).

¹² In the Matter of Anglers for Christ Ministries, Inc.; New Beginning Ministries; Video Programming Accessibility; Petitions for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements, Memorandum Opinion and Order, DA 06-1802 (2006) ("Anglers Exemption Order").

Marlene H. Dortch, Secretary CGB-CC-0701 July 3, 2007 Page 4

Order is not final.¹³ Moreover, the standard announced by the CGB in the Anglers Exemption Order was inappropriate because it failed to incorporate an "economically burdensome" or an "undue burden" standard as mandated by the Act and fails to require Petitioner to demonstrate the four factors listed above.

II. Conclusion

Commenters believe that the Petitioner has provided information that suggests that compliance with the closed captioning requirements would in fact impose an undue burden under the Commission's existing waiver standards. As such, Commenters recommend that the Petitioner be given a temporary exemption of two (2) years to comply with the closed captioning rules.

In addition, Commenters respectfully request that the Commission accept the attached certification that the facts and considerations in this filing are true and correct and waive the requirement to provide an affidavit for a responsive pleading.¹⁴

Respectfully submitted,

/ s /

Paul O. Gagnier Troy F. Tanner Danielle C. Burt Bingham McCutchen LLP 2020 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20007

Counsel to TDI

¹³ See Application for Review of Bureau Order, Docket No. 06-181, CGB-CC-0005, CGB-CC-0007 (filed October 12, 2006).

^{14 47} C.F.R. §79.1(f)(9).

/s/

Claude L. Stout Executive Director Telecommunications for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc. 8630 Fenton Street, Suite 604 Silver Spring, MD 20910

/s/

Nancy J. Bloch Chief Executive Officer National Association of the Deaf 8630 Fenton Street, Suite 820 Silver Spring, MD 20190-4500

/s/

Cheryl Heppner Vice Chair Deaf and Hard of Hearing Consumer Advocacy Network 3951 Pender Drive, Suite 130 Fairfax, VA 22030

/s/

Brenda Battat Associate Executive Director Hearing Loss Association of America 7910 Woodmont Avenue, Suite 1200 Bethesda, MD 20814 /s/

Edgar Palmer President Association of Late-Deafened Adults, Inc. 8038 Macintosh Lane Rockford, IL 61107

/s/

Jenifer Simpson
Senior Director,
Telecommunications
and Technology Policy
American Association of
People with Disabilities
1629 K Street N.W., Suite 503
Washington, DC 20006

/s/

Ed Kelly Chair California Coalition of Agencies Serving the Deaf and Hard of Hearing 6022 Cerritos Avenue Cypress, CA 90630

CERTIFICATION

I, Rosaline Crawford, Director, NAD Law and Advocacy Center, hereby certify that to the extent there are any facts or considerations not already in the public domain which have been relied on in the attached Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements, these facts and considerations are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

Date: July 3, 2007

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Danielle Burt, do hereby certify that, on July 3, 2007, a copy of the foregoing Opposition to the Petition for Exemption from Closed Captioning Requirements Filed by Captain Eric Mannino, Inc., as filed with the Federal Communications Commission in CGB-CC-0701, was served by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the Petitioner:

Captain Eric Mannino, Inc. c/o Capt. Eric Mannino 153 Overlook Drive Chuluota, FL 32766

/s/	
Danielle Burt	